Analysis of powertrain motions given a combination of active and passive isolators
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Most of the prior work on active mounting systems has been conducted in the context of a single degree-of-freedom even though the vehicle powertrain is a six degree-of-freedom isolation system. We seek to overcome this deficiency by proposing a new analytical model that will examine a combination of active and passive mounts. The chief objective of this article is to investigate the complex eigensolutions and frequency responses of the active powertrain system when excited by an oscillating torque. A typical analytical model for displacement type active mounts is developed in the form of transfer functions that relate the transmitted force through the mount to both driving point motion and active displacement terms. Given this model, passive path characteristics are identified in the form of eigenstructure. Then, the role of active path is clarified by comparison with no active operation. Multi-dimensional motions (especially coupling) are predicted and in particular the effects of active mount parameters such as the orientation angle, location and actuator input are investigated from the motion coupling perspective. © 2009 Institute of Noise Control Engineering.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Several active or smart engine mounting devices\textsuperscript{1–5} have been designed to reduce noise and vibration, especially under high dynamic torque conditions. Typical design criteria\textsuperscript{6–12} include decoupling of powertrain motions and motion control. Motion control is achieved through reduction in resonant peaks, natural frequency placement, reduced vibration transmissibility and increased acoustic comfort. Even though the powertrain (rigid body) is a 6 degree-of-freedom (DOF) isolation system, most of analytical work has been conducted in the context of single-degree-of-freedom systems\textsuperscript{1–5}. In several cases, high damping solutions have been implemented to control resonance(s) at lower frequencies, and then more compliant mounts have been sought at higher frequencies to minimize the force transmitted in the base; this implies an introduction of frequency-dependent isolators through passive, adaptive or active means. The above-mentioned design may not satisfy the powertrain motion decoupling considerations, and thus the selection of an active mount in the context of a 6-DOF system remains an empirical science. We seek to overcome this deficiency by proposing a new analytical model that will examine a combination of active and passive mounts.

The literature on multi-degree-of-freedom active isolation systems is sparse. For example, Gardonio, Elliott and Pinnington\textsuperscript{13}, Kim and Lee\textsuperscript{14}, and Royston and Singh\textsuperscript{15} have limited their analyses to 3-DOF systems (e.g. transverse-axial-pitch motions or pitch-roll-bounce motions). Also, prior researchers have attempted to minimize the forces transmitted into the rigid or compliant foundations without considering the multi-dimensional motion control and coupling issues. In particular, Gardonio, Elliott and Pinnington\textsuperscript{13} and Kim and Lee\textsuperscript{14} have suggested that passive and active mount parameters should be properly selected prior to the isolation control problem, especially at the lower frequencies (say up to 50 Hz). This article extends the prior multi-degree-of-freedom active isolators work\textsuperscript{13–15} by focusing on the eigensolutions, coupling dynamics and motion control issues.

2 PROBLEM FORMULATION

Figure 1 illustrates a typical 6-DOF rigid body powertrain mounting system with linear time invariant
active and passive mount models that are depicted in Fig. 2. Effective control of powertrain motions is essential over the lower frequency range up to 50 Hz since the rigid body modes significantly dominate and could couple with other vehicle system modes. Actuator displacement in active mounts is adjusted sinusoidally by a displacement actuator, \( x_A(t) = X_A e^{i(\omega t + \phi_A)} \) where \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of active displacement input, \( j \) is the imaginary unit, \( X_A \) is the active displacement amplitude, and \( \phi_A \) is the phase angle of active displacement with respect to the external torque excitation. Examination of constant \( X_A \) and \( \phi_A \) (at a time) would permit us to develop analytically tractable models in the context of a multi-degree-of-freedom isolation system. Our model will analytically examine the parameters of active and passive mounts (such as stiffness, damping and active input), their locations and orientation angles. Analysis is limited to only the engine torque excitation.

Consider a 6-DOF isolation system consisting of a rigid body (with powertrain mass \( m \), and inertia \( I_{ij} \), \( i \) and \( j = x, y, z \) under an oscillating torque \( T(t) \)) and 4 tri-axial mounts which are assumed to be attached to a rigid base. Out of these, one is an active mount (given by subscript \( A \)) with dynamic stiffness \( K_A(s) \) in a specific direction where \( s \) is the Laplace variable, \( X(s) \) is the powertrain displacement and \( X_A(s) \) is the actuator displacement. The other three are passive devices with dynamic stiffness \( K_i(s), i = 2, 3, 4 \) in a specific direction. Each mount element (in any direction) is assumed to have frequency-dependent, stiffness \( k(\omega) \) and viscous damping \( c(\omega) \) properties.

Governing equations in matrix form are as follows, where \( (s) \) implies the Laplace domain, \( \mathbf{q}(s) = [x, y, z, \theta_x, \theta_y, \theta_z]^T(s) \) is the displacement vector, and \( \mathbf{f}(s) \) is the external force vector (primarily the torque \( T(s) \) excitation),

\[
[s^2 \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{K}(s)]\mathbf{q}(s) = \mathbf{f}(s),
\]

where \( \mathbf{M} \) is the mass matrix (powertrain mass and inertia), and \( \mathbf{K}(s) \) is the stiffness matrix that includes the transfer function (dynamic stiffness) models of active and passive mounts. Passive mounts are modeled, as shown in Fig. 2(a), in terms of the cross point stiffness \( K_{TP}(s) = F_T(s)/X(s) \). Here, \( K_f(s) \) could be either analytically available from a fluid mount model or experimentally measured by a non-resonance mount test. This type of transfer function model is valid in the lower frequency range (say up to 50 Hz). Active mount models will be described in Sec. 3.

The chief objective of this article is to investigate the complex eigensolutions and frequency responses of the powertrain system of Fig. 1, when excited by an oscillating torque, with one active mount and 3 passive
mounts. The active mount model of Fig. 2(b) is first formulated for fluid piston type active device such as a hydraulic engine mount\textsuperscript{1,3–5} or piezoelectric mount\textsuperscript{2}. Our method will be validated by comparing analytical predictions in frequency domain with results from the direct inversion (numerical) method where we could simply use different $k$ and $c$ values at each frequency. The second objective is to examine multi-directional motion control and vibration isolation issues in the context of a multi-degree-of-freedom mounting system.

3 ACTIVE MOUNT MODELS

Gennesseaux\textsuperscript{21} examined four active isolation schemes and concluded that an actuator structure in parallel with a rubber element is the most preferred design, as the active actuator should be designed to generate only the dynamic force, and the static force should be provided by the rubber element. Based on this concept, a new analytical model for active mounts with actuator displacement input is proposed in Fig. 2(b).

![Fig. 3—Single-degree-of-freedom system with an active mount.](image_url)

The active mount model of Fig. 2(b) is first formulated for fluid piston type active device such as a hydraulic engine mount\textsuperscript{1,3–5} or piezoelectric mount\textsuperscript{2}. Our method will be validated by comparing analytical predictions in frequency domain with results from the direct inversion (numerical) method where we could simply use different $k$ and $c$ values at each frequency. The second objective is to examine multi-directional motion control and vibration isolation issues in the context of a multi-degree-of-freedom mounting system.

3 ACTIVE MOUNT MODELS

Gennesseaux\textsuperscript{21} examined four active isolation schemes and concluded that an actuator structure in parallel with a rubber element is the most preferred design, as the active actuator should be designed to generate only the dynamic force, and the static force should be provided by the rubber element. Based on this concept, a new analytical model for active mounts with actuator displacement input is proposed in Fig. 2(b). Since this work is limited to the lower frequency regime, the cross point transfer function ($K_f(s)$) concept is also applied to represent the dynamic parallel with a rubber element is the most preferred design, as the active actuator should be designed to generate only the dynamic force, and the static force should be provided by the rubber element. Based on this concept, a new analytical model for active mounts with actuator displacement input is proposed in Fig. 2(b). Since this work is limited to the lower frequency regime, the cross point transfer function ($K_f(s)$) concept is also applied to represent the dynamic

![Fig. 4—Schematic of an active engine mount based on hydraulic mount. Here, $C_1$ and $C_2$ are the compliances of upper and lower chambers, $p_1(t)$ and $p_2(t)$ are the chamber pressures, $R_e$, $I_e$, and $q_e(t)$ are the fluid resistance, inerterance, and flow rate in the inertia track, $A_e$ is the equivalent piston area of rubber, $A_A$ is the actuator piston area, and $k_r$ and $c_r$ are the rubber stiffness and viscous damping terms (Voigt model assumed).](image_url)

![Fig. 5—Active engine mount based on piezoelectric actuator. (a) Schematic\textsuperscript{2}; (b) Proposed model. Here, $k_p$ and $k_h$ are the linearized stiffness terms for piezoactuator and holder, $A_s$ and $A_L$ are the areas of small and large pistons, $C$ and $p(t)$ are the compliance of and pressure in the cylinder control volume, $k_s$ and $c_s$ are the stiffness and viscous damping coefficient of small piston rubber, $k_L$ and $c_L$ are the stiffness and viscous damping coefficient of large piston rubber, and $k_r$ and $c_r$ are stiffness and viscous damping coefficient of main (cushion) rubber.](image_url)
property of an active mount. In this model, the force transmitted into the rigid base \( F_T(s) \), consists of a passive force \( F_{TP}(s) \) and an active force \( F_{TA}(s) \):

\[
F_T(s) = F_{TP}(s) + F_{TA}(s). \tag{2}
\]

The individual transfer functions, \( K_{TP}(s) \) and \( K_{TA}(s) \), of the passive (primary) and active (secondary) paths are defined by the following:

\[
K_{TP}(s) = \frac{F_{TP}(s)}{X(s)} \tag{3a}
\]

and

\[
K_{TA}(s) = \frac{F_{TA}(s)}{X_A(s)}. \tag{3b}
\]

Here, \( X(s) \) is the powertrain displacement’s principal direction component of the active mount, and \( X_A(s) \) is the actuator displacement in Laplace domain. For a single-degree-of-freedom isolation system of Fig. 3, the actuator displacement in Laplace domain. For a single-degree-of-freedom isolation system of Fig. 3, the governing equation is:

\[
\left[ms^2 + K_{TP}(s)\right]X(s) = F(s) - K_{TA}(s)X_A(s). \tag{4}
\]

Here, the active force, \( F_{TA}(s)=K_{TA}(s)X_A(s) \), can be viewed as an “additional external” excitation since it acts independent of \( X(s) \). Therefore, the eigensolutions and passive dynamics are governed by the passive path \( K_{TP}(s) \). While an adaptive mount is usually designed to have at least two passive transfer functions depending on designated operating conditions\(^{20} \), only one passive transfer function is assigned to an active mount. Therefore, detailed dynamic analysis of the passive element in an active mounting system is crucial.

Two active mount concepts, where the actuator element is in parallel with the passive rubber element, will be examined. First, consider an active hydraulic device as shown in Fig. 4. The active mount consists of three control volumes (upper and lower chambers are designated by #1 and #2, respectively, and the inertia track is represented by #i). The momentum equation for rubber mass, \( m_r \), is:

\[
m_r\ddot{x}(t) = F(t) - k_rx(t) - c_r\dot{x}(t) - A_r\dot{p}_1(t). \tag{5}
\]

The continuity equations for the lower and upper chambers are:

\[
A_r\dot{x}(t) - q_i(t) = C_i\dot{p}_1(t) + A_A\dot{x}_A(t), \tag{6}
\]

\[
q_i(t) = C_2\dot{p}_2(t). \tag{7}
\]

The momentum equation for the inertia track is:

\[
p_1(t) - p_2(t) = I_\delta\dot{\delta}(t) + R_\delta\dot{q}_i(t). \tag{8}
\]

Since \( F(t) = F_T(t) = F_{TP}(t) + F_{TA}(t) \) in the lower frequency range\(^{18} \), the transfer functions for this active mount are derived from Eqns. (5)–(8) as follows:

\[
\begin{aligned}
K_{TP}(s) &= \frac{F_{TP}(s)}{X(s)} = ms^2 + c_is + kr + \frac{\alpha_2s^2 + \alpha_1s + \alpha_0}{\beta_2s^2 + \beta_1Rs + \beta_0A_r}, \tag{9a} \\
K_{TA}(s) &= \frac{F_{TA}(s)}{X_A(s)} = -\frac{\alpha_2s^2 + \alpha_1s + \alpha_0}{\beta_2s^2 + \beta_1Rs + \beta_0A_A}, \tag{9b} \\
\end{aligned}
\]

\[
\alpha_2 = C_2A_rI_i, \tag{9c}
\]

\[
\alpha_1 = C_2A_rR_i, \tag{9d}
\]

\[
\alpha_0 = A_r^2, \tag{9e}
\]

\[
\beta_2 = I_\delta C_iC_2, \tag{9f}
\]

\[
\beta_1 = C_iC_2R_i, \tag{9g}
\]

\[
\beta_0 = C_1 + C_2. \tag{9h}
\]

Second, piezoelectric active mount is considered as illustrated by one example in Fig. 5. This mount has a pressure cylinder control volume. Excitation force is expressed as:

\[
F(t) = A_xp(t) + k[x(t) - y(t)] + c_x[\dot{x}(t) - \dot{y}(t)]. \tag{10}
\]

The continuity equation for the main chamber is:

\[
A_r\dot{x}(t) = C_2\dot{p}(t) + A_L\dot{z}_L(t). \tag{11}
\]

The momentum equation for the large piston mass, \( m \), is:

\[
m\ddot{z}_L(t) = A_LP(t) - kr[z_L(t) - y(t)] - c_L[\dot{z}_L(t) - \dot{y}(t)] - k_p[z_L(t) - x_A(t)]. \tag{12}
\]

The momentum equation for the combined mass (rubber and housing), \( M \), is:
\[ M\ddot{y}(t) = k_hz(t) - y(t) + c_L[\dot{z}(t) - \dot{y}(t)] + k_h[x(t) - y(t)] - \ddot{y}(t) - k_\psi \dot{y}(t) - c_\psi \dot{y}(t). \] (13)

Eqns. (10)–(13), allow us to determine the passive and active path corresponding transfer functions, \( K_{TP}(s) \) and \( K_{TA}(s) \) as:

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{TP}(s) &= \frac{[c_s + k_s + A_s^2/C]A(s)B(s) - (A_s A_s/C)^2B(s) + C(s) + D(s)}{A(s)B(s) - (k_L + c_L s)^2}, \\
K_{TA}(s) &= -\frac{(A_s A_s/C)k_p B(s) + C(s)}{A(s)B(s) - (k_L + c_L s)^2},
\end{align*}
\]

(14a) 

(14b)

\[ A(s) = ms^2 + c_L s + [k_h + k_p + A_L^2/C], \]  

(14c)

\[ B(s) = Ms^2 + (c_L + c_s) s + k_L + k_h + k_r, \]  

(14d)

\[ C(s) = (k_L - c_s)(k_L + c_L s), \]  

(14e)

\[ D(s) = -(k_L + c_L s)^2[1 + A_s^2/C]. \]  

(14f)

4 MULTI-DEGREE-OF-FREEDOM ISOLATION SYSTEM WITH ACTIVE AND PASSIVE MOUNTS

We consider the powertrain isolation system (Fig. 1) with one or two active mounts and two or three rubber mounts. The following three coordinate systems are used: inertial reference coordinates \((XYZ)_g\) fixed at the ground with its origin at the static equilibrium (at the center of gravity, CG), along with local mount coordinates \((XYZ)_{i,m}\), which are parallel to \((XYZ)_g\) and principal mount coordinates \((XYZ)_{i,m,b}\), whose principal axes are not parallel to \((XYZ)_g\), where subscript \(i \) (\(=1,2, \ldots, n\)) is the mount index and \(n\) is the number of mounts. Passive rubber mounts formulated by \( K_i(s) = k_i + c_i s \) are described by three tri-axial spring and viscous (or structural) damping elements; the stiffness values are assumed to be constant and insensitive to the excitation amplitude. Conversely, active mounts are described by \( K_{TP}(s) \) and \( K_{TA}(s) \) as developed in the previous section. Only the torque excitation is considered in this article even though any excitation forces can be applied to the rigid powertrain. The displacements of the time-invariant inertial body (of dimension six) are assumed to be small and the displacement vector \( q(t) = [x \ y \ z \ \theta_\psi \ \theta_\theta \ \theta_\psi]^T(t) \) is expressed by the translational and angular displacements of the center of gravity (CG). The governing equations are formulated in matrix form, as shown below, where \( q(t) \) and \( \dot{q}(t) \) are the velocity, and acceleration vectors, respectively:

\[ M\ddot{q}(t) + C\dot{q}(t) + Kq(t) = \mathbf{f}(t) + \mathbf{f}_A(t). \]  

(15)

Here, \( M \) is inertial (mass) matrix, \( K \) is the stiffness matrix, \( C \) is the viscous damping matrix, and \( \mathbf{f}(t) \) is the external excitation (force/torque) vector. Here, \( \mathbf{f}_A(t) \) is the reaction force generated by the active mounts; rewrite it as \( \mathbf{f}_A(t) = f_{TP}(t) + f_{TA}(t) \), where \( f_{TP}(t) \) and \( f_{TA}(t) \) are the forces from the passive and active paths respectively. Equation (15) becomes:

\[ M\ddot{q}(t) + C\dot{q}(t) + Kq(t) = \mathbf{f}(t) + f_{TP}(t) + f_{TA}(t). \]  

(16)

The reaction forces, \( f_{TP}(t) \) and \( f_{TA}(t) \), are the sum of forces generated by each active mount element and they are expressed as follows where \( N_A \) is the number of active mounts:

\[ f_{TP}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_A} f_{TP,k}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{TA}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_A} f_{TA,k}(t). \]  

(17)

Utilize the transfer function models of Eqn. (3a) and (3b) to represent the passive and active paths of \( N_A \) active mounts as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{TP,k}(s) &= \frac{F_{TP,k}(s)}{X_{TP,k}(s)} = \mathcal{L}[f_{TP,k}(t)]/\mathcal{L}[x_{TP,k}(t)], \\
K_{TA,k}(s) &= \frac{F_{TA,k}(s)}{X_{TA,k}(s)} = \mathcal{L}[f_{TA,k}(t)]/\mathcal{L}[x_{TA,k}(t)],
\end{align*}
\]

(18a) 

(18b)

Here, \( \mathcal{L} \) is the Laplace transform. Note that \( f_{TP,k}(t) \) and \( f_{TA,k}(t) \) are passive and active path forces, respectively, in a specific direction of an active mount component, while \( x_{TP,k}(t) \) and \( x_{TA,k}(t) \) are inertial body displacement in the active mount orientation direction and active
input displacement in time domain. The local mount reaction forces, \( f_{TP,i} \) and \( f_{TA,i} \), are represented in the global (XYZ) coordinates in terms of the mount parameters and their orientation angles and locations; the inertial body displacement, \( x_{TP,i} \), is found based on the kinematics of isolation system. The resulting deflection, \( \mathbf{q}_{mi,i}(t) \), at each mount is as follows, based on the rigid foundation assumption:

\[
\mathbf{q}_{mi,i}(t) = \left[ \mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{L}_{mi} \right] \mathbf{q}(t),
\]

\[
\mathbf{L}_{mi} = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & r_{zi} - r_{yi} \\
0 & r_{xi} \\
\text{skew sym.} & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Using the Euler angles as given by \((\theta_i, \varphi_i, \phi_i)\) for \(i\)-th mount, the rotational matrix, \(\mathbf{\Theta}_{g,mi}\) is found by rotating about (XYZ) axes in the sequence of X, Y, and Z. Reaction force in the \(i\)-th mount in the global coordinate system is obtained by a transformation from the local mount coordinates, and the resulting reaction force is:

\[
f_{g,mi}(t) = \left[ \mathbf{f}_{g,mi,i}(t) \quad \mathbf{f}_{g,mi,\theta}(t) \right] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_{mi,i}(t) \\ \mathbf{r}_{mi} \times \mathbf{f}_{mi,i}(t) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{f}_{mi,i}(t).
\]

Since \(\mathbf{f}_{mi,i}(t) = \mathbf{\Theta}_{g,mi} \mathbf{f}_{mpi,i}(t)\), Eqn. (21) becomes:

\[
f_{g,mi}(t) = \left[ \mathbf{I} \quad \mathbf{T}_{mi} \right] \mathbf{\Theta}_{g,mi} \mathbf{f}_{mpi,i}(t).
\]

Based on the fact that the transmitted (output reaction) forces, \( f_{TP,i} \) and \( f_{TA,i} \), through active mount are described in the (XYZ) coordinates as \( \mathbf{f}_{TP,k-mpi}(t) = [f_{TP,i}(t) 0 0] \) and \( \mathbf{f}_{TA,k-mpi}(t) = [f_{TA,i}(t) 0 0] \), their transformations (\( \mathbf{f}_{TP,i}(t) \) and \( \mathbf{f}_{TA,i}(t) \)) to the global coordinate system are expressed using Eqn. (22) as follows:

\[
f_{TP,i}(t) = \mathbf{\Theta}_{g,mi} \mathbf{f}_{TP,i}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{L}_{mi} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Theta}_{g,mi} \mathbf{f}_{TP,i}(t) = \left[ \begin{bmatrix} f_{TP,i}(t) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right],
\]

\[
f_{TA,i}(t) = \mathbf{\Theta}_{g,mi} \mathbf{f}_{TA,i}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{L}_{mi} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Theta}_{g,mi} \mathbf{f}_{TA,i}(t) = \left[ \begin{bmatrix} f_{TA,i}(t) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right].
\]

The resulting inertial displacement in the direction of the active mount component is now completely described in terms of the orientation angle, its location, and rigid body motion without introducing an additional variable for itself. We apply the inverse Laplace transformation to convert Eqn. (18) to time domain formulation and obtain the equations as:

\[
a(t) = \mathbf{b}_{TP}(t),
\]

where, by assuming that a typical transfer function \(K_{TP,i}(s)\) is assumed to be represented as \(K_{TP,i}(s) = (a_{2,ks}^2 + a_{1,ks} + a_{0,ks})/(\beta_{2,ks}^2 + \beta_{1,ks} + \beta_{0,ks})\), based on the fact that an active hydraulic mount is modeled in Eqn. (9a) and (9b) when \(m_r\) and \(c_r\) are negligible in lower frequency range\(^{18}\),

\[
a(t) = [a_1, \cdots, a_{N_A}]^T(t), \quad a_k = L^{-1}[(a_{2,ks}^2 + a_{1,ks} + a_{0,ks})X_{TP,i}(s)], \quad k = 1, \cdots, N_A
\]

\[\mathbf{b}_{TP} = [b_{TP,1}, \cdots, b_{TP,N_A}]^T, \quad b_{TP,k}(s) = L^{-1}[1/(\beta_{2,ks}^2 + \beta_{1,ks} + \beta_{0,ks})F_{TP,i}(s)], \quad k = 1, \cdots, N_A\]

Note that \(X_{TP,i}(s)\) in Eqn. (27) is:

\[
X_{TP,i}(s) = \left[ \mathbf{\Theta}_{g,mi}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}(s) \right]_{X}.
\]

For an asymmetric mounting system, Eqns. (16) and (26) are expanded using the powertrain system kinematics developed above and the governing equations with active and passive mounts are represented in an extended form as follows:

\[
m\ddot{x}(t) + \mathbf{c}_{mi}^T \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) + \mathbf{k}_{mi} \mathbf{q}(t) = (\mathbf{f}_x + (\mathbf{f}_{TA_x} + f_{\Delta f} f_{TP,1, \cdots, f_{TP,N_A}})),
\]

\[
m\ddot{y}(t) + \mathbf{c}_{mi}^T \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) + \mathbf{k}_{mi} \mathbf{q}(t) = (\mathbf{f}_y + (\mathbf{f}_{TA_y} + f_{\Delta f} f_{TP,1, \cdots, f_{TP,N_A}})),
\]

\[
m\ddot{z}(t) + \mathbf{c}_{mi}^T \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) + \mathbf{k}_{mi} \mathbf{q}(t) = (\mathbf{f}_z + (\mathbf{f}_{TA_z} + f_{\Delta f} f_{TP,1, \cdots, f_{TP,N_A}})),
\]
governing equations (in matrix form) for the mounting system are assembled as follows in the inverse Laplace transform in expanded formulation. We employ this active isolation method to a non-conservative discrete system, Eqn. (30) is cast in the state-space, first order system form as:

\[ \mathbf{A} p(t) + \mathbf{B} q(t) = \mathbf{g}(t), \]

where the state vector \( \mathbf{p}(t) \) and excitation vector \( \mathbf{g}(t) \) are defined as:

\[ p(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{q}_e(t) \\ q_e(t) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{g}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} f_e(t) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \]

and system matrices \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) are defined as:

\[ \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{M}_e & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{K}_e \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_e & \mathbf{K}_e \\ \mathbf{K}_e & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

The complex eigenvalue problem associated with Eqn. (31) is:

\[ \lambda_r \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_r + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_r = 0, \]

where \( \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C} \; (r=1,2,3,\ldots,2(N+N_A)) \) is the \( r \)-th complex-valued eigenvalue (includes both real and imaginary parts due to viscous damping) and \( \mathbf{U}_r \) is the \( r \)-th state-space complex-valued eigenvector. The complex eigenvectors \( \mathbf{U}_r \) are cast as \( \mathbf{U}_r = [\mathbf{u}_r, \mathbf{u}_r] \), where \( \mathbf{u}_r \) is the configuration (physical) space eigenvector that satisfies the following eigenvalue problem:

\[ \chi^2 \mathbf{M}_e + \lambda_r \mathbf{C}_e + \mathbf{K}_e \mathbf{u}_r = 0. \]

To develop an expansion theorem for asymmetric eigensystem (non-self-adjoint discrete system), an additional eigenvalue problem for the adjoint eigensystem must be defined as:

\[ \lambda_s \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{v}_r + \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{v}_r = 0, \]

in which \( \mathbf{V}_r \) is the \( r \)-th eigenvector of the adjoint system (in state space) that is in the form of \( \mathbf{V}_r = [\mathbf{v}_r, \mathbf{v}_r] \). Based on the bi-orthogonal property: \( \mathbf{V}_r^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{u}_s = \delta_{rs}, \mathbf{V}_r^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}_s = -\lambda_s \delta_{rs}, r,s=1,2,3,\ldots,2(N+N_A) \) where \( \delta_{rs} \) is the Kroneker delta function, the modal expansion theorem is now applicable to our active powertrain mounting system.

5.2 Frequency Response Functions

Assuming \( \mathbf{g}(t) = \mathbf{G} e^{int} \), the harmonic response is as follows:
where,

\[ \mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{u}_2 \cdots \mathbf{u}_{2(N+N_A)}] \]

\[ \mathbf{V} = [\mathbf{v}_1 \mathbf{v}_2 \cdots \mathbf{v}_{2(N+N_A)}] \]

\[ \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_{2(N+N_A)}) \].

The frequency response functions given harmonic torque excitation (with unity amplitude) are calculated using the modal expansion theorem and compared with those computed using the direct inversion method (with Voigt type mount model corresponding to \( K_{TP}(s) \)). In the numerical direct inversion method, we could embed \( k_s(\omega) \) and \( c_s(\omega) \) properties in one or more mount elements of Fig. 1. The governing equations of the isolation system in frequency domain (\( \omega \)) are as follows where \( \mathbf{q}(\omega) \) is the dynamic displacement vector, and \( \mathbf{f}(\omega) \) is the external excitation (force/torque) vector:

\[ (-\omega^2 \mathbf{M} + j\omega \mathbf{C}(\omega) + \mathbf{K}(\omega)) \mathbf{q}(\omega) = \mathbf{f}(\omega). \] (37)

Here, \( \mathbf{M} \) is the inertial (mass) matrix, \( \mathbf{K}(\omega) \) is the stiffness matrix (with spectrally-varying properties) and \( \mathbf{C}(\omega) \) is the viscous damping matrix (with spectrally-varying properties).

6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

6.1 Eigenvalues

In the focalized mounting system as shown in Fig. 6, an inertial coordinate system is chosen to be the same as the principal coordinate system and the elastic center lies on one of the principal axes, say the x axis. Oscillating torque is assumed to be in the \( \theta_x \) direction. It is the most desired case for the mounting system in terms of elastic axis focalization or torque roll axis decoupling design since it would yield a complete decoupling under the torque excitation. Based on the proposed system model and complex eigenvalue formulation, eigensolutions for a focalized active mounting system of Figs. 1 and 6 are first analytically examined given the following powertrain parameters: Mass \( m \) =100.5 kg; moment of inertia (kg m\(^2\)) \( I_{XY} =1.65 \), \( I_{YY} =2.43 \), \( I_{ZZ} =2.54 \); inertia product (kg m\(^2\)) \( I_{XY} =I_{XZ} =I_{YZ} =0 \). Properties and locations of the rubber mounts are:

- stiffness \( k_x =280 \text{ N mm}^{-1} \);
- stiffness rate ratio \( L_k =k_s/k_b =2.5 \); damping \( c_a =30 \text{ N s}^{-1} \);
- damping rate ratio \( L_c =c_a/c_b =2.5 \); mount orientation \( \phi =0^\circ \);
- mount locations in the x-direction \( r_{x,1} =r_{x,2} =318 \text{ mm}, r_{x,3} =r_{x,4} =-318 \text{ mm} \);
- mount locations in the y-direction \( r_{y,1} =r_{y,3} =-198 \text{ mm}, r_{y,2} =r_{y,4} =198 \text{ mm} \); and
- mount locations in the z-direction \( r_{z,1} =r_{z,2} =r_{z,3} =r_{z,4} =-94 \text{ mm} \).

The active mount of Fig. 4 is now placed at location #1 and parameters of Eqn. (17) are given as follows when \( m_r \) and \( c_r \) are negligible over lower frequency range (up to 50 Hz): \( k_t =127.4 \text{ N mm}^{-1}, A_r =4123 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2, A_i =1662 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2, \alpha_2 =16.2, \alpha_1 =103, \alpha_0 =2590, \beta_2 =2.12 \times 10^{-7}, \beta_1 =1.36 \times 10^{-6}, \) and \( \beta_0 =8.18 \times 10^{-4} \). Eigenvalues are compared in Table 1, for passive and active mounts. One additional eigenvalue with a high damping ratio exists in the active mounting system (due to a pole in the passive path), while the isolation system with purely passive (and frequency-independent) mounts has only six eigenvalues. Observe that the resonant frequencies would differ from those obtained when we employ passive rubber mounts (with \( K(s) =k+cs \) model). Note that \( x \) and \( \theta \) modes are significantly coupled with \( \theta_x \) due to the active mount, and the corresponding resonances show large changes from those for the passive mounting system alone. Since the eigenstructure of an active isolation system is determined by the internal passive path (\( K_{TP}(s) \)), both passive and active paths must be carefully identified before any parametric design studies can be carried out.

For the sake of illustration, we examine the modes of a V6 diesel engine isolation system\(^{11} \). The inertial properties are nearly symmetric with respect to the crankshaft axis and four mounts are also placed in almost symmetric locations. Real and complex eigenvalues are calculated and compared with measured natural frequencies in Fig. 7. This analysis suggests that...
the measured natural frequency at 12.47 Hz corresponds to the sixth (and not the fifth) mode. Better agreement with measured data is achieved only when the complex eigensolution method (with consideration of mount damping) is applied. This implies that the complex eigensolution method should be utilized to analyze the real-life engine mounting systems.

6.2 Frequency Responses

Figure 8 shows frequency responses for three translations and three rotations; observe that the analytical model exactly matches with numerical (direct inversion method) results. The effect of harmonic active displacement \( x_{A}(t) = X_{A} e^{\omega t + \phi_{A}} \) on the focalized mounting system motions is examined next. Figure 9 compares the frequency responses for three different active displacement inputs given \( T(t) = T_{\text{eng}} e^{\omega t} \) with \( T_{\text{eng}} = 100 \text{ Nm} \). Observe that the roll motion \( \theta_{y} \) is significantly reduced in the entire frequency range when the actuator displacement is out of phase with the torque excitation while it is amplified by an in-phase actuator input. This indicates that the active mount could act as a roll control mount even though some
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Table 1—Comparison of eigenvalues for a powertrain mounting system (Fig. 6) with three passive mounts and one active isolator (Fig. 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode(s)</th>
<th>( \omega_r ) (Hz)</th>
<th>( \zeta ) (%)</th>
<th>Mode(s)</th>
<th>( \omega_r ) (Hz)</th>
<th>( \zeta ) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mount</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>Mount</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma(\theta) )</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>( \gamma(\theta) )</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( z )</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>( z ), ( \theta_{x}, \theta_{z} )</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_{x} )</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>( \theta_{x} )</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_{y} )</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>( \theta_{y} )</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \theta_{z} )</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>( \theta_{z} ), ( \theta_{y} )</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: \( \omega_r \) = natural frequency; \( \zeta \) = damping ratio.
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Fig. 8—Frequency response of an active powertrain mounting system of Fig. 6 given harmonic torque with 100 Nm amplitude. One active mount of Fig. 4 is placed at location #1 and the rest are passive mounts. (a) \( X(\omega) \); (b) \( Y(\omega) \); (c) \( Z(\omega) \); (d) \( \theta_{y}(\omega) \); (e) \( \theta_{y}(\omega) \); (f) \( \theta_{x}(\omega) \). Key: — (black), analytical (modal expansion method); \( \times \) (red), numerical (direct inversion method).
coupled motions in other directions are seen. Modal characteristics do not change with active force operation (as expected) since they are strictly determined by the passive path(s) of an active mount. The effect of active mount’s orientation angle, /H9278 as shown in Fig. 6, on the focalized mounting system motions is also investigated here. Figure 10 compares the frequency responses in the roll direction for two different orientation angles given Teng = 100 N m. The roll motion (/H9278) is significantly reduced in the entire frequency range when /H9278 = 0° (vertical) compared to the case with /H9278 = 30°. This shows that the orientation of the active mount plays an important role in response reduction.

7 INTRODUCTION OF MOTION COUPLING BY ACTIVE MOUNTS

The torque roll axis (TRA) could be decoupled for a proportionally or non-proportionally damped system by judiciously selecting mount parameters, locations, orientation angles, and stiffness ratios as suggested by Jeong and Singh\textsuperscript{8} and more recently Park and Singh\textsuperscript{7}. Even though significant coupling takes place when
spectrally-varying mounts are employed, decoupling is still possible for a focalized mounting system (with \( \phi = 0^\circ \) and \( r_z = 0 \) mm). Now, an active mount and a passive hydraulic mount are placed at location #1 and #2, respectively, for the focalized system (Fig. 6). To begin with, assume that active force is not applied under the torque excitation. The TRA is decoupled given \( \phi = 0^\circ \) and \( r_z = 0 \) mm. Mount locations are illustrated in Fig. 11; and, Fig. 12 shows the resulting decoupled roll mode \( \theta (\omega) \). This is expected since the secondary force arising from the active mount introduces three excitations in \( Z(\omega), \theta X(\omega), \) and \( \theta Y(\omega) \) in addition to the primary engine torque. This example clearly shows that one should carefully design the TRA mounting scheme while including the results of secondary forces generated by the active mount.

8 CONCLUSION

Two major contributions of this article emerge. First, a new 6-DOF rigid body model with a combination of active and passive mounts is proposed. To facilitate this development, a refined transfer function model for fluid-piston displacement type active mounts is developed and then is incorporated into mounting system, resulting in a spectrally-varying linear time-invariant system formulation. Our model is partially verified by comparison with numerically obtained frequency response functions; also, complex eigensolutions match with measured natural frequencies for one powertrain example. Second, eigenstructure and multidimensional dynamics (especially motion coupling issues when excited by harmonic torque) are examined. For instance, modal solutions (that are dictated by the passive paths) are predicted as well as the role of active path. The effect of mount parameters such as orientation angle and location on motion decoupling is examined and appropriate selection of the passive path within active mount provides the torque roll axis decoupling. Coupling phenomena are illustrated by comparing powertrain motion spectra with and without operation of active mounts. The motion coupling issues introduced by the active mounts are explained via frequency responses. Future work includes extension of this work to other active isolation systems. Further, properties of an active mount could be specified from the system perspective (say decoupled motions, resonance control and reduced transmissibility) and then passive and active paths could be optimized to yield the desired performance over the frequency range of interest.
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